Appendix 3: Proposals for Hard Wire Alarm Systems (CEB Report 8th December 2010) Risk Register

No.	Risk Description Link to Corporate Obj	Gr s Ris	sk	Cause of Risk	Mitigation	Ne Ris	sk	Further Management of Risk: Transfer/Accept/Reduce/Avoid Probability Score: 1 = Rare; 2 = Unlikely; 3		Monitoring Effectivenes s essible: 4 = Like		es	Current Risk		
	Almost Certain														
		I	P		Mitigating Control: Level of Effectiveness: (HML)	I	P	Action: Action Owner: Mitigating Control: Control Owner:	Outcome required: Milestone Date:	Q 1 ® ® ®	Q 2 🟵 🖽 🗓	Q 3 © ① ①	Q 4 (3) (1) (1)	I	Р
1.	Avoidable injury or harm to elderly or vulnerable resident	2	2	No monitoring alarms available in designated 2 properties	Mitigating control: clients receiving SP funding will receive an alarm and ongoing assessment of need. Other residents can pay for service (as they currently do) if they do not qualify for SP funding)	2	1	Action: None Mitigating Control: Mitigated by initial control.	Outcome required:						
3.	Avoidable injury or harm to elderly or vulnerable resident	2	2	No monitoring alarms available in designated 3 and sheltered properties	Mitigating control: clients receiving SP funding will receive an alarm and ongoing assessment of need. Other residents can pay for service (as they currently do) if they do not qualify for SP funding) AND hard wired alarms retained to provide monitoring of communal areas	2	1	Action: None Mitigating Control: Mitigated by initial control.	Outcome required:						

No.	Risk Description Link to Corporate Obj	Gro s Ris		Cause of Risk	Mitigation	Ne Ri:	sk	Further Management of Risk: Transfer/Accept/Reduce/Avoid		Monitoring Effectivenes s		Current Risk
	Risk Score Impact Score : 1 = Insignificant; 2 = Minor; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Major; 5 = Catastrophic Probability Score : 1 = Rare; 2 = Unlikely; 3 = Possible; 4 = Likely; 5 = Almost Certain											
2.	Interruption of service to vulnerable clients		3	During transition.	Mitigating control: Ensure SP and service provider and effective transition plan and communication plan.	2		Action: Agree with SP process to transfer services form hard-wired to telephone based. Mitigating Control: establish programme from SP and new providers to undertake transfers of systems for clients.	Outcome Milestone Date:			
3.	Reputation damage to the Council	3	4	Loss and / or reductions in existing services. Perception of tenants and other stakeholders	Manage communications and process of service change to ensure all residents and other stakeholders clear	3	2	Action: Communication plan (once principles agreed) Mitigating control: Advice all relevant stakeholders.	Outcome. Milestone date: Transition plan with providers.			
4												